1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 8	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVA Charles K. Verhoeven (Bar No. 170151) charlesverhoeven@quinnemanuel.com David A. Perlson (Bar No. 209502) davidperlson@quinnemanuel.com Melissa Baily (Bar No. 237649) melissabaily@quinnemanuel.com John Neukom (Bar No. 275887) johnneukom@quinnemanuel.com Jordan Jaffe (Bar No. 254886) jordanjaffe@quinnemanuel.com 50 California Street, 22 nd Floor San Francisco, California 94111-4788 Telephone: (415) 875-6600 Facsimile: (415) 875-6700	N, LLP	
9	Attorneys for WAYMO LLC	DIGEDICE COLUE	
10			
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
12	WAYMO LLC,	CASE NO. 3:17-cv-00939-WHA	
13 14	Plaintiff, vs.	PLAINTIFF WAYMO LLC'S ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE UNDER SEAL ITS RENEWED MOTION	
15 16	UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.; OTTOMOTTO LLC; OTTO TRUCKING LLC,	TO COMPEL UBER SOURCE CODE	
17	Defendants.		
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

CASE No. 3:17-cv-00939-WHA

WAYMO'S ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO SEAL

01980-00104/9635408.1

Pursuant to Civil L.R. 7-11 and 79-5, Plaintiff Waymo LLC ("Waymo") respectfully requests to file under seal information in its Renewed Motion to Compel Uber Source Code ("Waymo's Motion), filed concurrently herewith. Specifically, Waymo requests an order granting leave to file under seal the portions of the documents as listed below:

Document	Portions to Be Filed	Designating Party
	Under Seal	
Waymo's Motion	Highlighted in blue	Defendants
	Highlighted in green	Waymo
Exhibit 1 to Waymo's Motion	Entire document	Defendants
	Highlighted in green	Waymo
Exhibit 2 to Waymo's Motion	Entire document	Waymo
Exhibit 3 to Waymo's Motion	Entire document	Waymo

I. <u>LEGAL STANDARD</u>

Civil Local Rule 79-5 requires that a party seeking sealing "establish[] that the document, or portions thereof, are privileged, protectable as a trade secret or otherwise entitled to protection under the law" (*i.e.*, is "sealable"). Civil L.R. 79-5(b). The sealing request must also "be narrowly tailored to seek sealing only of sealable material." *Id*.

II. DEFENDANTS CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION

Waymo seeks to seal identified portions of these documents because Defendants have designated the information confidential and/or highly confidential. Declaration of Lindsay Cooper ("Cooper Decl.") \P 3. Waymo takes no position on the merits of sealing the designated material, and expects Defendants to file one or more declarations in accordance with the Local Rules.

III. THE COURT SHOULD SEAL WAYMO'S CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION

The Court should also seal the portions of Waymo's Motion and exhibits thereto as identified in the table above. Waymo seeks to file this information under seal because it discloses technical information regarding Waymo's trade secrets. *See* Cooper Dec., ¶ 4. Courts have determined that trade secret information merits sealing. *Music Grp. Macao Commercial Offshore Ltd. v. Foote*, No. 14–cv–03078–JSC, 2015 WL 3993147, at *1 (N.D. Cal. June 30, 2015) (quoting *Kamakana*, 447 F.3d at 1179); *see also Brocade Commc'ns Sys., Inc. v. A10 Networks, Inc.*, No. C 10-3428 PSG, 2013 WL 211115, at *1, *3 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 17, 2013) (granting request to seal document that "consists entirely

of descriptions of Brocade's trade secrets."). Waymo seeks to seal trade secret information that fit squarely within these categories. Cooper Dec. ¶ 4. Waymo maintains this information as a trade secret (see Dkt. 25-31) and ensures the information remains secret with strict secrecy and security protocols (see Dkt. 25-47; Dkt. 25-49.). Id. Waymo has narrowly tailored its requests to only information meriting sealing. Id. In fact, both Music Grp. and Brocade found the confidential information at issue in those cases met the heightened "compelling reasons" standard for sealing. Music Grp., 2015 WL 3993147, at *1; Brocade, 2013 WL 211115, at *1, *3. The information that Waymo seeks to seal, therefore, also meets this heightened standard. The disclosure of Waymo's trade secrets would harm Waymo. Cooper Dec. ¶ 4. Moreover, the scope of information that Waymo is seeking to seal is consistent with other administrative motions to seal that have already been granted by the Court in this case. (See Dkt. 681.) Thus, the Court should grant Waymo's administrative motion to seal. IV. CONCLUSION In compliance with Civil Local Rule 79-5(d), redacted and unredacted versions of the above listed documents accompany this Administrative Motion. For the foregoing reasons, 15 Waymo respectfully requests that the Court grant Waymo's Administrative Motion. DATED: October 20, 2017 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP

18

17

16

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

By /s/ Charles K. Verhoeven

Charles K. Verhoeven Attorneys for WAYMO LLC

01980-00104/9635408.1